

PERFORMANCE		

	<b>Absolute Rating</b>	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	No
2004			

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan;

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

## EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

Teachers Students Parents

the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

Number of surveys returned
Percent satisfied with learning environment
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment
Percent satisfied with home 1/2 school relations

	FORMA		

Y GR	OUP								
Englis	ent ist ind	lested B	JOH Basic	Basic ok	Proficient 0/0	Advanced Advanced	Hert and Craft	Objective	
<u> </u>						/ 9/0	\ \ \psi_		
		Er	ıglish/Lar	nguage A	rts				
14	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6	6.78	

	/ • •	)~/	00				010	/ 51
			Ξī	iglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	14	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Gender								
Male	10	80.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Female	4	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
African-American	7	71.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	8	87.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disabled	6	83.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	14	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	14	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Full-pay meals	14	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	14	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Gender								
Male	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Female	4	75.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								

				Mathe	matics			
All students	14	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Gender								
Male	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Female	4	75.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	7	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
African-American	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	8	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disabled	6	83.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	14	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	14	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Full-pay meals	14	92.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 7

Grade 8

3

8

N/A

87.5

triding of teding olo Profese Handeled o/o Belon Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 N/A Grade 6 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 7 N/A N/A N/A N/A 1 N/A N/A Grade 8 1 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6 3 66.7 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	8	87.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

# SCHOOL PROFILE

o	ur School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 53)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	N/R	N/R	7.5%	14.4%
Retention rate	6.8%	Down from 18.3%	3.9%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	100.0%	No change	94.5%	95.2%
	0.6%	Up from 0.0%	6.8%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	27.9%	Down from 31.0%	15.9%	14.1%
	30.2%	Down from 40.0%	6.7%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	2.9%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 8)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	100.0%	No change	42.9%	47.1%
	87.5%	Up from 66.7%	78.4%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	87.5%	Up from 82.7%	80.8%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.9%	Down from 96.2%	94.7%	95.0%
	\$46,016	No change	\$39,288	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	5.0 days	Down from 5.2 days	11.2 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	6.1 to 1	Up from 1.9 to 1	19.8 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	93.9%	Down from 96.3%	87.8%	88.9%
	\$12,985	Up 21.1%	\$6,266	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	92.3%	Down from 93.3%	60.1%	62.0%
	Poor	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	11.9%	Up from 7.7%	94.6%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

				-
Δhhra	wiati∧n	e tor i	Missina	I lata

N/A Not Applicable N/C Not C	Collected N/R	Not Reported I/	<b>S</b> Ir	nsufficient Sample
------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-------------	--------------------

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Hall School is a residential facility that serves students requiring in-patient mental health services. At Hall School there are certified teachers who help students continue academic progress in the core courses during their hospitalization. Unless medically impossible, students are expected to complete educational activities which will prepare them to meet standards and score as well as their abilities allow on state standardized tests. Students are exposed to a self-paced program and special tutoring, which affords them the opportunity to maintain academic progress and/or correct educational deficits they may have developed before their hospitalization occurred.

Staff development continues to be a major focus for Hall School. We continue to look for ways to focus students, who are experiencing a variety of disruptive life problems, on academic excellence.

Patricia W. Brown, Principal

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.